

Sep 6 16:13 1984 page 1

From unclem Thu Sep 6 16:12:43 1984
To: bc cutler gordon iv ron whelchul foggy!bc sneaky!gordon vaxmix!ron
From: trsvox!unclem (Frank Durda IV)
Sent: Thu Sep 6 1984, 16:12:24 CDT
Subject: More benchmarks (snore)

I have reached a checkpoint in the improvement of the serial control system and in clock management on the Z80. Here is what I have to show at this point in the game: (all times are in seconds)

	waits	no/w	waits	no/w	waits	no/w
	1.3.2	1.3.2	1.3.3+	1.3.3+	1.3.3++	1.3.3++
Test 1 (delta)	312	294 +18	270 +42	258 +54	251 +61	241 (seconds)
Test 2 (delta)	270	253 +17	235 +35	221 +49	223 +47	211 (seconds)
Test 3 (delta)	564	563 -7	586 -25	597 -36	587 -26	590 (seconds)
Test 4 (delta)	269	253 +16	234 +35	222 +47	222 +47	209 (seconds)

waits = 2 wait states per Z80 opcode fetch
no/w = no wait states (an the Z80 side)

1.3.3+ is new serial code, larger fifo, new z80 clock, and 58k clock fudge
1.3.3++ is the same as 1.3.3+ with new video from Tom B.

Test 1 is /bin/time cat /usr/dict/words

Test 2 is /bin/time cat test.file

<test.file is created via: cat /usr/dict/word | tr '\012' '\n'>& test.file

Test 3 is /bin/time cat /usr/dict/words | tr '\012' '\n'

Test 4 is /bin/time cat tab.file
<tab.file is created via: cat /usr/dict/word | tr '\012' '\n'>& tab.file

An interesting item above is that Test 3 takes longer on machines that do not have wait states. I assume this is caused by rotational latency in picking up data from the hard disks. Test 4 eliminates as much of the "on-the-fly" processing from test 3 and outputs the same data. It's results show that the machine without wait states executes the video/serial code under test faster.

It should also be pointed out that the speeds in column 1 should be the same as those collected by Tom B. For some reason, they are about 20 seconds lower. All of my tests were run on a 512k Model 16a, 1

15 mag drive and 1 mag off swap on that drive.
At any rate, the above results show that by combining the new video and serial code, we can see a 20% speed improvement and if you throw in a wait-stateless machine, that goes up to 23%.

Comments?

Frank Burda IV